

आयुक्त का कार्यालय Office of the Commissioner केंद्रीय जीएसटी, अपील अहमदाबाद आयुक्तालय Central GST, Appeals Ahmedabad Commissionerate जीएसटी भवन, राजस्व मार्ग, अम्बावाडी, अहमदाबाद-380015



GST Bhavan, Ambawadi, Ahmedabad-380015 Phone: 079-26305065 - Fax: 079-26305136

E-Mail: commrappl1-cexamd@nic.in
Website: www.cgstappealahmedabad.gov.in

By SPEED POST

DIN:- <u>20240264SW000000C24A</u>		
(क)	फ़ाइल संख्या / File No.	GAPPL/COM/STP/4387/2023 /1624 - 7 8
(ख)	अपील आदेश संख्याऔर दिनांक / Order-In –Appeal and date	AHM-EXCUS-002-APP-205/23-24 and 29.01.2024
(ग)	पारित किया गया /	श्री ज्ञानचंद जैन, आयुक्त (अपील)
	Passed By	Shri Gyan Chand Jain, Commissioner (Appeals)
(ঘ)	जारी करने की दिनांक / Date of Issue	31.01.2024
(ङ)	Arising out of Order-In-Original No. 694/AC/Demand/22-23 dated 31.3.2023 passed by The Assistant Commissioner, CGST Division-I, Ahmedabad North	
(च)	अपीलकर्ता का नाम और पता / Name and Address of the Appellant	Hiteshbhai D. Vora E-402, Shreedhar Flora Near Amar Javan Circle Nikol, Ahmedabad

कोई व्यक्ति इस अपील-आदेश से असंतोष अनुभव करता है तो वह इस आदेश के प्रति यथास्थिति नीचे बताए गए सक्षम अधिकारी को अपील अथवा पुनरीक्षण आवेदन प्रस्तुत कर सकता है, जैसा कि ऐसे आदेश के विरुद्ध हो सकता है।

Any person aggrieved by this Order-in-Appeal may file an appeal or revision application, as the one may be against such order, to the appropriate authority in the following way.

भारत सरकार का पुनरीक्षण आवेदन:-

Revision application to Government of India:

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा अतत नीचे बताए गए मामलों के बारे में पूर्वोक्त धारा को उप-धारा के प्रथम परन्तुक के अंतर्गत पुनरीक्षण आवेदन अधीन सचिव, भारत सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली: 110001 को की जानी चाहिए:-

A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Govt. of India, Revision Application Unit Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110 001 under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35 ibid: -

(क) यदि माल की हानि के मामले में जब ऐसी हानिकार खाने से किसी भण्डागार या अन्य कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार से दूसरे भण्डागार में माल ले जाते हुए मार्ग में, या किसी भण्डागार या भण्डार में चाहे वह किसी कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार में हो माल की प्रकिया के दौरान हुई हो।

In case of any loss of goods where the loss occur in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse.

(ख) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित माल पर या माल के विनिर्माण में उपयोग शुल्क कच्चे माल पर उत्पादन शुल्क के रिबेट के मामलें में जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित है। In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.

(ग) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।

In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.

(घ) अंतिम उत्पादन की उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो डयूटी केडिट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो इस धारा एवं नियम के मुताबिक आयुक्त, अपील के द्वारा पारित वो समय पर या बाद में वित्त अधिनियम (नं 2) 1998 धारा 109 द्वारा नियुक्त किए गए हो।

Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under and such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec.109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.

(2) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट प्रपत्र संख्या इए-8 में दो प्रतियों में, प्रेषित आदेश के प्रति आदेश प्रेषित दिनाँक से तीन मास के भीतरमूल-आदेश एवं अपील आदेश की दो-दो प्रतियों के साथ उचित आवेदन किया जाना चाहिए। उसके साथ खाता इ का मुख्य शीर्ष के अंतर्गत धारा 35-इ में निर्धारित फी के भुगतान के सबूत के साथ टीआर-6 चालान की प्रति भी होनी चाहिए।

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

(3) रिविजन आवेदन के साथ जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रूपये या उससे कम होतो रूपये 200/- फीस भुगतान की जाए और जहाँ संलग्नरकम एक लाख से ज्यादा हो तो 1000/- की फीस भुगतान की जाए।

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.200/- where the amount involved is Rupees One Lac or less and Rs.1,000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवा कर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपीलः-Appeal to Custom, Excise, & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

- (1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35-बी/35-इ के अंतर्गतः-Under Section 35B/ 35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to:-
- (2) उक्तलिखित परिच्छेद में बताए अनुसार के अलावा की अपील, अपीलों के मामले में सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट) की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, अहमदाबाद में 2nd माला, बहुमाली भवन, असरवा, गिरधरनागर, अहमदाबाद-380004।

To the west regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at 2ndfloor, Bahumali Bhawan, Asarwa, Girdhar Nagar, Ahmedabad: 380004. In case of appeals other than as mentioned above para.

The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise(Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against (one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs.1,000/-, Rs.5,000/- and Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty / penalty / demand / refund is upto 5 Lac, 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asstt. Registar of a branch of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the Place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated.

(3) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश होता है तो प्रत्येक मूल ओदश के लिए फीस का भुगतान उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिए इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी कि लिखा पढी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता हैं।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner notwithstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs.100/- for each.

(4) न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम 1970 यथा संषोधित की अनुसूची -1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार उक्त आवेदन या मूलआदेश यथास्थिति निर्णयन प्राधिकारी के आदेश में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रतिपर रू 6.50 पैसे का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए।

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under scheduled-I item of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.

(5) इन ओर संबंधित मामलों को नियंत्रण करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निहित है।

Attention in invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

(6) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट) एके प्रति अपीलो के मामले में कर्तव्यमांग (Demand) एवं दंड (Penalty) का 10% पूर्व जमा करना अनिवार्य है। हालांकि, अधिकतम पूर्व जमा 10 करोड़ रुपए है। (Section 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क और सेवाकर के अंतर्गत, शामिल होगा कर्तव्य की मांग (Duty Demanded)।

- (1) खंड (Section) 11D के तहत निर्धारित राशि;
- (2) लिया गलत सेनवैट क्रेडिट की राशिय;
- (3) सेनवैट क्रेडिट नियमों के नियम 6 के तहत देय राशि।

यह पूर्व जमा ' लंबित अपील' में पहले पूर्व जमा की तुलना मेंए अपील' दाखिल करने के लिए पूर्व शर्त बना दिया गया है।

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, 10% of the Duty & Penalty confirmed by the Appellate Commissioner would have to be pre-deposited, provided that the pre-deposit amount shall not exceed Rs.10 Crores. It may be noted that the pre-deposit is a mandatory condition for filing appeal before CESTAT. (Section 35 C (2A) and 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994).

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

- (i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
- (ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;
- (iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.

(6) (i) इस आदेश के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के सम्क्ष जहाँ शुल्क अथवा शुल्क या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किए गए शुल्क के 10% भुगतान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की जा सकती है।

In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute."

ORDER IN APPEAL

M/s. Hiteshbhai D. Vora, B-402, 4th Floor, Shreedhar Flora, Behind Galaxy Business Park, Nava Naroda, Ahmedabad, Gujarat- 382350 (hereinafter referred to as 'the appellant') have filed the present appeal against the Order-in-Original No. 694/AC/Demand/22-23 dated 31.03.2023 (in short 'impugned order'), passed by the Assistant Commissioner, Central GST, Division-I, Ahmedabad North, Ahmedabad (hereinafter referred to as 'the adjudicating authority'). The appellant was rendering taxable service but were not registered with the department. They were holding PAN No. AGOPV3606K.

- 2. The facts of the case, in brief, are that on the basis of the data received from the Central Board of Direct Taxes (CBDT) for the F.Y. 2016-17, it was noticed that the appellant had earned substantial income by providing taxable services. They declared Sales / Gross Receipts of Rs.16,12,870/- in their ITR, on which no service tax was paid. Letters were, therefore, issued to the appellant to explain the reasons for non-payment of tax and to provide certified documentary evidences for the F.Y. 2016-17. The appellant neither provided any documents nor submitted any reply justifying the non-payment of service tax on such receipts. The service tax liability of Rs.2,41,931/- was, therefore quantified considering the income of Rs.16,12,870/- as taxable income.
- 2.1 A Show Cause Notice (SCN) No. AR-II/Hiteshbhai Vora/S.T/UNREG/2016-17 dated 06.04.2022 was issued to the appellant proposing recovery of service tax amount of Rs.2,41,931/- not paid on the value of income received during the F.Y. 2016-17, along with interest under Section 73(1) and Section 75 of the Finance Act, 1994, respectively. Imposition of penalty under Section 77 and Section 78 of the Finance Act, 1994 was proposed. Late fee for non-filing of return under Section 70 was also proposed.
- 2.2 The said SCN was adjudicated vide the impugned order, wherein the service tax demand of Rs.2,41,931/- was confirmed alongwith interest. Penalty of Rs. 10,000/- was imposed under Section 77 and penalty of Rs.2,41,931/- was also imposed under Section 78. Late fee for non-filing of return under Section 70 was also imposed.
- 3. Being aggrieved with the impugned order passed by the adjudicating authority, the appellant have preferred the present appeal, on the grounds elaborated below:-
 - The appellant is engaged in the business of carrying out intermediate production process as job worker amounting to manufacture or production in relation to cut and polished diamonds and gemstones; or plain and studded jewellery of gold and other precious metals falling under Chapter 71 of the Central Excise Tariff Act, 1985 (5 of 1986). They claim that in terms of clause 30 (b) of Notification 25/2012-ST dated 20.06.2012, cut and polished diamonds and gemstones; or plain and studded jewellery of gold and other precious metals, falling under Chapter 71 of the Central Excise Tariff Act, 1985 (5 of 1986) are exempted hence there is no liability to pay taxes.
 - The sales bills related to services provided to recipients showing the service amounts with inward quantum of goods (Diamond) and Outward quantum of goods (Diamond) is submitted as evidence. As cutting and polishing of diamond is not a taxable service the demand should be dropped as unsustainable.

- > When tax is not payable, question of recovering interest does not arise.
- > Imposition of penalty is not sustainable as no sufficient cause has been brought out to prove the allegation.
- > The order has been passed without following the principles of natural justice.
- 4. Personal hearing in the appeal matter was held on 17.01.2024. Shri Dhaval Movaliya, Tax Consultant appeared on behalf of the appellant for personal hearing. He reiterated the grounds of appeal and stated that the client does job work related to diamond cutting and polishing which is exempted under Notification No.25/2012-ST dated 20.6.2012.
- 5. I have carefully gone through the facts of the case, grounds of appeal, submissions made in the Appeal Memorandum and documents available on record. The issue to be decided in the present appeal is whether the impugned order passed by the adjudicating authority, confirming the demand of Rs.2,41,931/- against the appellant along with interest and penalty, in the facts and circumstance of the case is legal and proper or otherwise. The demand pertains to the period F.Y 2016-17.
- 6. It is observed that the entire demand has been raised on the basis of third-party data. From the Profit & Loss Account and Balance Sheet submitted by the appellant, it is noticed that the appellants have shown the income of Rs. 16,12,870/- as Diamond Polish Job Work Income in the F.Y. 2016-17. They have claimed that they have done job-work related to cutting & polishing of diamonds and such intermediate process in exempted under mega notification.
- 6.1 It is observed that the process of carrying out job work in relation to cutting and polished diamonds and gemstones; or plain and studded jewellery of gold and other precious metals falling under Chapter 71 of the Central Excise Tariff Act, 1985 (5 of 1986) was exempted vide Mega Notification No. 25/2012-ST dated 20.06.2012. Relevant text of Clause (30) of Notification No. 25/2012-ST is re-produced below for reference;
 - 30. Carrying out an intermediate production process as job work in relation to -
 - (a) agriculture, printing or textile processing;
 - (b) cut and polished diamonds and gemstones; or plain and studded jewellery of gold and other precious metals, falling under Chapter 71 of the Central Excise Tariff Act, 1985 (5 of 1986);
 - (c) any goods on which appropriate duty is payable by the principal manufacturer; or
 - (d) processes of electroplating, zinc plating, anodizing, heat treatment, powder coating, painting including spray painting or auto black, during the course of manufacture of parts of cycles or sewing machines upto an aggregate value of taxable service of the specified processes of one hundred and fifty lakh rupees in a financial year subject to the condition that such aggregate value had not exceeded one hundred and fifty lakh rupees during the preceding financial year;
- 6.2 I have gone through the sample invoices submitted by the appellant, wherein they have collected job work charges for rough diamond (cut & poiss) plamond) from their

clients. Considering the invoices, Profit & Loss Account, Ledgers of the Balance Sheet submitted by the appellant, I find that intermediate process carried out by the appellant is squarely covered under Clause (30) (b) of the mega notification. I, therefore, find the demand of Rs.2,41,931/- confirmed alongwith interest and penalties is not legally sustainable, as the income from above mentioned job-work is exempted.

- In light of above discussion and findings, I set-aside the impugned order confirming the service tax demand of Rs.2,41,931/- alongwith interest and penalties and allow the appeal filed by the appellant.
- अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है। 8. The appeal filed by the appellant stands disposed off in above terms.

आयुक्त (अपील्स)

Date: 2.J. 1.2024

एउं संवाक

Attested

(रेखा नायर) Superintendent (Appeals) CGST, Ahmedabad

By RPAD/SPEED POST

To, M/s. Hiteshbhai D. Vora, B-402, 4th Floor, Shreedhar Flora, Behind Galaxy Business Park, Nava Naroda, Ahmedabad- 382350

The Assistant Commissioner CGST, Division-I, Ahmedabad North

Appellant

Respondent

Copy to:

- 1. The Principal Chief Commissioner, Central GST, Ahmedabad Zone.
- 2. The Commissioner, CGST, Ahmedabad North.
- 3. The Superintendent (System), CGST, Ahmedabad (Appeals) for uploading the OIA
- 4. Guard File.

